



#4
5/30/02

MAY 30 2002

Paper No. 4

Mark Pohl
55 Madison Avenue
4th Floor
Morristown, New Jersey 07960

In re Application of: Stephen M. Reuning et al.)
Application No. 09/911,024)
Filed: July 24, 2001) **DECISION ON PETITION FOR**
For: AUTOMATED PROSPECTOR AND) **ACCELERATED EXAMINATION**
TARGETED ADVERTISEMENT) **UNDER M.P.E.P. §708.02(I)**
ASSEMBLY AND DELIVERY)
SYSTEM)

This is a decision on the petition, filed March 18, 2002 under 37 C.F.R. §1.102(d) and M.P.E.P. §708.02(I): Manufacture, to make the above-identified application special.

M.P.E.P. §708.02, Section I which sets out the prerequisites for a grantable petition for Accelerated Examination under 37 C.F.R. §1.102(d) states in relevant part:

An application may be made special on the ground of prospective manufacture upon the filing of a petition accompanied by the fee under 37 CFR 1.17(h) and a statement by the applicant, assignee or an attorney/agent registered to practice before the Office alleging:

(A) The possession by the prospective manufacturer of sufficient presently available capital (stating approximately the amount) and facilities (stating briefly the nature thereof) to manufacture the invention in quantity or that sufficient capital and facilities will be made available if a patent is granted; If the prospective manufacturer is an individual, there must be a corroborating statement from some responsible party, as for example, an officer of a bank, showing that said individual has the required available capital to manufacture;

(B) That the prospective manufacturer will not manufacture, or will not increase present manufacture, unless certain that the patent will be granted;

(C) That the prospective manufacturer obligates himself, herself or itself, to manufacture the invention, in the United States or its possessions, in quantity immediately upon the allowance of claims or issuance of a patent which will protect the investment of capital and facilities; and

(D) That the applicant or assignee has made or caused to be made a careful and thorough search of the prior art, or has a good knowledge of the pertinent prior art.

Applicant must provide one copy of each of the references deemed most closely related to the subject matter encompassed by the claims if said references are not already of record.

Applicant's submission meets all the criteria set out above, accordingly, the Petition is **GRANTED**.

The application file is being forwarded to the Examiner of Record for accelerated examination according to the procedures set forth in M.P.E.P. §708.02, Section VIII.



Vincent N. Trans
Special Programs Examiner
Technology Center 2100
Computer Architecture, Software, and Electronic Commerce
(703) 305-9750